



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 13 (2012), No 1, pp. 121-126

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2012.470

# The intersection of the compatible linear extensions of a natural partial order

*Szilvia Szilágyi*



## THE INTERSECTION OF THE COMPATIBLE LINEAR EXTENSIONS OF A NATURAL PARTIAL ORDER

SZILVIA SZILÁGYI

*Received 16 January, 2012*

*Abstract.* For an acyclic function  $f : A \longrightarrow A$  we define a natural  $f$ -compatible partial order  $\hat{f}$  on  $A$  and determine the intersection of the  $f$ -compatible linear extensions of  $\hat{f}$ .

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 06A06; 06F99.

*Keywords:* compatible partial order, compatible linear extension

### 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central problems in the theory of ordered algebras is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a compatible linear extension of  $r$  in a partially ordered algebraic structure  $(A, F, \leq_r)$ . If  $F = \emptyset$ , then Szpilrajn proved that any partial order  $r$  ( $\leq_r$ ) on a set  $A$  can always be extended to a linear order  $R$  and any partial order is the intersection of its linear extensions (see [5]). In the present paper we consider a partial order  $r$  on the set  $A$  and an order endomorphism  $f : A \longrightarrow A$  with the natural compatibility property:  $x \leq_r y$  implies  $f(x) \leq_r f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Clearly, the pair  $(A, f)$  is a unary algebra and the above  $f$ -compatibility condition allows us to view the triple  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  as a partially ordered unary algebra. For  $F = \{f\}$  ( $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a unary operation) the above mentioned extension problem has been thoroughly investigated. Szigeti and Nagy proved that the partial order  $r$  of a unary algebra  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  has an  $f$ -compatible linear extension if and only if the function  $f : A \longrightarrow A$  is acyclic (see [3]). For an acyclic  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  the intersection of the  $f$ -compatible linear extensions of  $r$  is determined in [2]. For an arbitrary  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  the maximal  $f$ -compatible partial order extensions of  $r$  and the intersection are investigated in [1] and [4]. The aim of the present paper is to determine the intersection of the  $f$ -compatible linear extensions of  $\hat{f}$ , where the  $f$ -compatible partial order  $\hat{f}$  on  $A$  can be defined in a natural way starting from an acyclic function  $f : A \longrightarrow A$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(A, f)$  be a (mono-)unary algebra. A partial order  $r$  on  $A$  is called *f-compatible* if the function (unary operation)  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is an order endomorphism with respect to  $r$ . We shall make use of the following notation

$$\mathcal{L}(A, f, \leq_r) = \{R \mid r \subseteq R \subseteq A \times A \text{ is an } f\text{-compatible linear order on } A\}.$$

The intersection

$$r_f = \mathbf{cl}(A, f, \leq_r) = \bigcap_{R \in \mathcal{L}(A, f, \leq_r)} R$$

is called the *closure* of  $r$  with respect to  $f$ . Indeed, the above definition gives a closure operator (with the monotone, idempotent and extensive properties) on the set of the  $f$ -compatible partial orders of  $A$ .

**Definition 1** (see [3]). Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a set and  $N \geq 0$  be an integer. A function  $f : A \rightarrow A$  takes  $N$  steps on the element  $x \in A$ , if

$$x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots, f^N(x)$$

are different elements in  $A$ , and

$$f^{N+1}(x) = f^N(x)$$

(by convention  $f^0(x) = x$ ). Take  $N = \infty$  if

$$f^m(x) \neq f^n(x)$$

for all integers  $0 \leq m < n$ .

**Definition 2** (see [3]). The function  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is called *acyclic*, if for each element  $x \in A$  there is an integer  $0 \leq N = N(x) \leq \infty$  such that  $f$  takes  $N = N(x)$  steps on  $x$ .

A partially ordered unary algebra  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  is called *acyclic*, if  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is acyclic. It is easy to see that any linearly ordered unary algebra is acyclic. If  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  is acyclic, then  $r_f$  is an  $f$ -compatible partial order on  $A$ .

**Lemma 1** (see [2]). Let  $(A, f, \leq_r)$  be an acyclic partially ordered unary algebra. If  $a, b \in A$  and  $a \leq_r f(a)$  or  $f(a) \leq_r a$ , then  $(a, b) \in r_f$  implies that  $a = b$  or  $f^m(a) \leq_r f^m(b)$  and  $f^m(a) \neq f^m(b)$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ .

3. THE ACYCLIC PARTIALLY ORDERED UNARY ALGEBRA  $(A, f, \hat{f})$ 

Let

$$\langle x \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$$

denote the  $f$ -orbit of  $x$  and define the following reflexive and transitive relation  $\hat{f} \subseteq A \times A$  as follows:

$$x \hat{f} y \Leftrightarrow \langle x \rangle_f \subseteq \langle y \rangle_f.$$

Clearly,  $\langle x \rangle_f \subseteq \langle y \rangle_f$  if and only if  $x \in \langle y \rangle_f$ , i.e. we can find an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $x = f^k(y)$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $(A, f)$  is a unary algebra, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is an acyclic function.
- (2)  $\hat{f}$  is antisymmetric.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $x \hat{f} y$  and  $y \hat{f} x$  for  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle_f = \langle y \rangle_f$  imply that  $x = f^m(y)$  and  $y = f^n(x)$  for some  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ . Thus

$$x = f^m(f^n(x)) = f^{m+n}(x),$$

whence

$$x = f(x) = \dots = f^n(x) = \dots = f^{n+m}(x)$$

follows from the acyclic property of  $f$ . Obviously,

$$x = f^n(x) = y.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $f^m(x) = f^n(x)$  for some integers  $0 \leq m < n$ . Clearly,  $\langle f^{m+1}(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(x) \rangle_f$  and  $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^{m+1}(x) \rangle_f$  is a consequence of

$$f^m(x) = f^{n-m-1}(f^{m+1}(x)).$$

Thus we have

$$f^m(x) \hat{f} f^{m+1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{m+1}(x) \hat{f} f^m(x).$$

Since  $\hat{f}$  is antisymmetric, we get  $f^m(x) = f^{m+1}(x)$  and

$$f^m(x) = f^{m+1}(x) = \dots = f^n(x).$$

□

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(A, f)$  be an acyclic unary algebra. Then the partial order  $\hat{f}$  is  $f$ -compatible.*

*Proof.* If the function  $f$  is acyclic, then  $\hat{f}$  is a partial order by Proposition 1. If  $x \hat{f} y$  for  $x, y \in A$ , then we can find an integer  $m \geq 0$  such that

$$x = f^m(y),$$

whence  $f(x) = f^m(f(y))$  and  $f(x) \hat{f} f(y)$  follows. □

#### 4. THE INTERSECTION

**Proposition 3.** *If  $(A, f)$  is an acyclic unary algebra and  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$  for  $x, y \in A$ , then there exists a unique  $z \in A$  such that*

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f.$$

*This element  $z = x \triangle y$  is called the  $f$ -intersection of  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$ , we can define an integer  $n$  as follows:

$$n = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\}.$$

We claim that  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f$  for  $z = f^n(x)$ . Obviously,

$$\langle z \rangle_f \subseteq \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f.$$

On the other hand if  $u \in \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f$  then  $u = f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Thus  $k \geq n$  and  $u = f^{k-n}(f^n(x)) = f^{k-n}(z) \in \langle z \rangle_f$ . The fact that there is only one  $z \in A$  with

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \langle z \rangle_f$$

is a consequence of Proposition 1.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Let  $(A, f)$  be an acyclic unary algebra. If  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$  for  $x, y \in A$ , then define the distance of  $x$  and  $y$  as follows:

$$\delta(x, y) = |(\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f) \cup (\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f)| = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f| + |\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f|.$$

We note that

$$\delta(x, y) = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f| + |\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f|.$$

immediately follows from

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f = \langle x \rangle_f \setminus (\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f) = \langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f$$

and

$$\langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \rangle_f = \langle y \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f.$$

**Proposition 4.** If  $(A, f)$  is an acyclic unary algebra and  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$  for  $x, y \in A$ , then

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle y \rangle_f| = |\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle x \Delta y \rangle_f| = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\} \leq N(x),$$

where the integer  $N(x)$  is the number of  $f$ -steps on  $x$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid f^k(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f\}$ , then we have

$$x \Delta y = f^n(x)$$

(see the proof of Proposition 3). We distinguish two cases.

**Case 1** If  $N(x) = \infty$ , then  $n < N(x)$  and

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f = \{f^k(x) \mid k \geq 0\} \setminus \{f^k(x) \mid k \geq n\}.$$

Thus

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f| = |\{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)\}| = n.$$

**Case 2** If  $N = N(x) < \infty$  and  $N < n$ , then

$$\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^N(x)\} \cap \langle y \rangle_f = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $n \leq N$  and

$$\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^N(x)\} \setminus \{f^n(x), \dots, f^N(x)\},$$

i.e.

$$|\langle x \rangle_f \setminus \langle f^n(x) \rangle_f| = |\{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x)\}| = n.$$

□

The next theorem gives a complete description of the intersection of the  $f$ -compatible linear extensions of the partial order  $\hat{f}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $(A, f)$  is an acyclic unary algebra and  $x, y \in A$ , then:*

$$(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f \Leftrightarrow x = y, \text{ or } \langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \delta(x, x \triangle y) < \delta(y, x \triangle y).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\langle f(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle x \rangle_f$  (i.e.  $f(x) \leq_{\hat{f}} x$ ) for all  $x \in A$ , the application of Lemma 1 gives that

$$(\hat{f})_f = \{(x, y) \in A \times A \mid (\exists m) 0 \leq m, f^m(x) \hat{f} f^m(y), f^m(x) \neq f^m(y)\} \cup \{(x, x) \mid x \in A\}.$$

If  $(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(y) \rangle_f$  and  $f^m(x) \neq f^m(y)$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ . Suppose that

$$k = \delta(x, x \triangle y) \geq \delta(y, x \triangle y) = l.$$

Since  $f^m(x) \in \langle y \rangle_f$ , we have  $m \geq k$  (see Proposition 4). Now

$$f^m(x) = f^{m-k}(f^k(x)) = f^{m-k}(x \triangle y)$$

and

$$f^m(y) = f^{m-l}(f^l(y)) = f^{m-l}(x \triangle y)$$

imply that

$$f^m(y) = f^{k-l}(f^m(x)).$$

It follows that  $\langle f^m(y) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(x) \rangle_f$ . The antisymmetric property of  $\hat{f}$  gives that  $f^m(x) = f^m(y)$ , a contradiction.

If  $\langle x \rangle_f \cap \langle y \rangle_f \neq \emptyset$  and

$$m = \delta(x, x \triangle y) < \delta(y, x \triangle y) = l,$$

then

$$f^m(x) = x \triangle y = f^l(y) = f^{l-m}(f^m(y))$$

ensures that  $\langle f^m(x) \rangle_f \subseteq \langle f^m(y) \rangle_f$ . On the other hand, using Proposition 4,

$$m < l \leq N(y)$$

implies that

$$f^m(y) \neq f^l(y) = f^m(x).$$

Thus we have  $(x, y) \in (\hat{f})_f$ .

□

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was carried out as part of the TAMOP- 4.2.1.B-10/2/KONV-2010-0001 project with support from the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Foldes and J. Szigeti, “Maximal compatible extensions of partial orders,” *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 245–252, 2006.
- [2] J. Szigeti, “On the intersection of monotonicity preserving linear extensions,” *Acta Math. Hung.*, vol. 55, no. 1-2, pp. 161–163, 1990.
- [3] J. Szigeti and B. Nagy, “Linear extensions of partial orders preserving monotonicity,” *Order*, vol. 4, no. 1-3, pp. 31–35, 1987.
- [4] S. Szilágyi, “A characterization and the intersection of the maximal compatible extensions of a partial order,” *Order*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 321–333, 2008.
- [5] E. Szpilrajn, “Sur l’extension de l’ordre partiel,” *Fundamenta*, vol. 16, pp. 386–389, 1930.

*Author’s address*

**Szilvia Szilágyi**

University of Miskolc, Institute of Mathematics, Miskolc-Egyetemváros, 3515 Miskolc, Hungary

*E-mail address:* matszisz@uni-miskolc.hu